

Message

From: McGill, Thomas [Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov]
Sent: 8/4/2017 6:37:39 PM
To: Able, Tony [Able.Tony@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: regulatory cross-walk

I don't think so.

From: Able, Tony
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2017 11:45 AM
To: McGill, Thomas <Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: regulatory cross-walk

Is this something we want to share with Donnie K

Tony Able, Chief
Wetlands and Streams Regulatory Section
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
61 Forsyth St., S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30303

W - 404 562 9273

C Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

From: Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2017 11:25 AM
To: McGill, Thomas <Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>; McDavit, Michael W. <Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov>; Hurlid, Kathy <Hurlid.Kathy@epa.gov>; Able, Tony <Able.Tony@epa.gov>; Kaiser, Russell <Kaiser.Russell@epa.gov>
Cc: Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip <Mancusi-Ungaro.Philip@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: regulatory cross-walk

Attached is my first attempt at creating a cross walk for the COE regs. You will note, some I designated as Informational in that the review of those provisions will help the state better understand what is in the program. Others are not, and I believe the state will have to mirror those requirements.

See what you think.

I am also adding Matt Hicks who handles a lot of our 404 counseling. I am adding 404 assumption to his portfolio (who says being a manager isn't fun....)

Phil

From: McGill, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, August 02, 2017 5:52 PM
To: McDavit, Michael W. <Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov>; Hurlid, Kathy <Hurlid.Kathy@epa.gov>; Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip <Mancusi-Ungaro.Philip@epa.gov>; Able, Tony <Able.Tony@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: regulatory cross-walk

Mike – I know Kathy is out of pocket for the next 2 weeks. While I understand the attachment reflects a comprehensive set of requirements that a State would need to have in place in order to have an acceptable 404 assumption package, would you or anyone on your staff be able to verify that?

Phil/Tony – If you see anything missing from the attachment regarding required state authorities please chime-in.

Thanks.

Tom

From: McGill, Thomas

Sent: Wednesday, August 2, 2017 5:48 PM

To: 'Green, Justin B.' <Justin.B.Green@dep.state.fl.us>; Seward, Margaret <Margaret.Seward@dep.state.fl.us>

Cc: McDavit, Michael W. <Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov>; Kaiser, Russell <Kaiser.Russell@epa.gov>; Hurlid, Kathy <Hurlid.Kathy@epa.gov>; Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip <Mancusi-Ungaro.Philip@epa.gov>; Able, Tony <Able.Tony@epa.gov>

Subject: regulatory cross-walk

Justin and Megan,

Per our discussion this afternoon I'm attaching a regulatory cross-walk that includes the authorities of a state program that we would need to see in a 404 assumption package. While I believe this is comprehensive in terms of the scope of authorities that need to be in place for an approvable assumption package, I am in the process of verifying that within my office. This cross-walk template was used by EPA in our work with other states that have explored assumption, and while we included some examples of other state authorities within the attachment we removed the specific citations for the purpose of keeping those states anonymous. Hopefully you'll find this document useful.

We look forward to continuing our discussions and please don't hesitate to follow-up with us if you have any questions or would like to discuss any issues related to this.

Tom